

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/920,517	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CLARKE ET AL	
	<b>Examiner</b> Q. Janice Li	<b>Art Unit</b> 1632	

**All Participants:**

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO.

(2) David Casimir, Appl Rep.

**Date of Interview:** 14 March 2005

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
14 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:  
None

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*The Examiner proposed amendment of claims 14 and 23 to correct issues under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd paragraph. Applicants' representative agreed to make such change in the form of an Examiner's amendment*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  
**Q. JANICE LI, M.D.**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)